

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant	: Vivian Alberts
App. No	: 10/568,227
Filed	: May 17, 2006
For	: METHOD FOR THE PREPARATION OF GROUP IB-III-A-VIA QUATERNARY OR HIGHER ALLOY SEMICONDUCTOR FILMS
Examiner	: Matthew L. Reames
Art Unit	: 2893
Conf No.	: 6275

## COMMENTS ON STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

Applicant assumes that, pursuant to M.P.E.P. § 1302.14, the Examiner has stated some, but not all, of the reasons for allowance of the claims and that, as a result, the Statement does not necessarily relate to or completely set out the reasons for allowance of each and every claim. For instance, patentability is not premised on the uniqueness of any one limitation in the claims but rather is based on the combination of all recited features in each claim, including the dependent claims, which recite additional elements distinguishing the art of record. While the reasons listed by the Examiner in the Notice of Allowability are *sufficient* patentable distinctions over the art of record, Applicant submits these reasons are not the only basis for the patentability of the claims

**Application No.:** 10/568,227  
**Filing Date:** May 17, 2006

over the art of record. Rather, Applicants respectfully submit that the claims, including independent Claims 1, 44, and 82, include elements providing additional distinctions over the art of record.

Respectfully submitted,

KNOBBE, MARTENS, OLSON & BEAR, LLP

Dated: February 3, 2010

By:

*Law Bull*

David K. Buckingham  
Registration No. 60,695  
Attorney of Record  
Customer No. 20995  
(415) 954-4114

8330742  
010510